

**DIRECTOR CHUCK GIPP** 

November 20, 2017

TROY PETERSON P.O. BOX 406 WAUKON, IOWA 52172

SUBJECT: Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) Compliance Inspection for:
- D&R Johnson, County – Howard, Facility #57716

Dear Mr. Peterson:

Attached is a copy of the report resulting from the Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) facility compliance inspection conducted on November 16, 2017.

Your attention is directed to the requirements and recommendations portion of the report.

If you have any questions, or feel this report does not represent the conditions at your facility, please call me at 563/927-2640.

The cooperation and assistance provided by you during the inspection is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Chris Gelner, Environmental Specialist

christopher.gelner@dnr.iowa.gov

Field Services and Compliance Bureau

c: -Stephen Pollard, U.S. EPA Region 7, WWPD/WENF, 11201 Renner Blvd., Lenexa, KS 66219

-Ken Hessenius, FO#3, AFO Enforcement Coordinator, Spencer, IA

enc: -AFO Facility Inspection Report

-MMP Inspection Form

-Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) Regulatory Status Form

-Aerial Photos of Site

Efile: 45 AFO Riceville 57716 D&R Johnson 111617 Inspection csg

FIELD OFFICE 1, 909 W MAIN ST STE 4, MANCHESTER IA 52057

Phone: 563-927-2640

www.iowadnr.gov

Fax: 563-927-2075

# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AFO INSPECTION REPORT

	FACILI	TY DESCRIP	TION			
FACILITY LOCATION	Facility: D&R Johnson			Facili	Facility ID#: 57716	
	Address: 6897 Birch Avenue	Cit	y: Riceville	State: IA	Zip: 50466	
	PLSS: Section 5, Jamestown Township (T99N, R14W), Howard			ounty		
OWNER	Name: D&R Johnson, LLC					
	Address:	Cit	y:	State:	Zip:	
ANIMAL HOUSING TYPE	☐ Confinement ☐ Open		Combined (Confinen			
ANIMAL INFORMATION	Animal Type(s) Swine – Finish Cattle – Finish	Capacity 3,300 970	Current Head 3,300 800	Number of Bldgs./Pens 3 2		
	Date of Construction: 1997 Dates of Expans		Dates of Expansi	ion: 2004, 2005		
	INSPECT	ION INFORM	ATION	710		
INSPECTION DATE	This Inspection 11/16/2017	Last Inspection: N/A				
PERSONS INTERVIEWED	Name: Troy Peterson		Title: Facility Co	Title: Facility Contact		
	Name: Title:					
NEAREST WATERCOURSE	Stream Name: Unnamed Tributa	ıry to Watson'	s Creek		**************************************	
	Description of Flow Path: Northw	est about 560	ft to UT Watson's C	reek		
	COMPLI	ANCE SUMN	IARY			
OBSERVATIONS	Nutrient Management: ☐CNMP ☐NMP ☑MMP ☐	Other No	formal plan	eren eren eren eren eren eren eren eren		
	Manure Stockpiling:	Mortality Management:		Runoff from Feed Storage:		
	☐In controlled area ☑In compliance with rules ☐Not applicable – direct haul ☐Stockpiling in an uncontrolled area	☐ Rendering ☐ Composting ☐ Incineration ☐ On-site burial ☐ Landfill  Discharge to a Water of the U.S. via Manmade Conveyance: ☐ Yes ☑ No		□ No outdoor feed storage area □ Discharge from feedstock storage area is controlled □ Feed storage is located in an uncontrolled area □ Direct Animal Contact with Waters of the U.S.: □ Yes □ No		
	Clean Water Diverted:  ☐Yes ☑No					
	Adjacent Facilities (by same owner/operator): Confinement Open Lot None Evidence of Discharges: Yes No					
NPDES PERMIT STATUS	The facility, as observed during the inspection, was a Large CAFO and did not need an NPDES permit. NPDES permit is required: ☐ Yes ☐ No					
COMPLIANCE STATUS	This facility appeared to be in compliance with Iowa's environmental regulations at the time of the inspection. Actual conditions may vary over time with the operation and maintenance of the facility. Facility is in compliance:					
AUTHENTICATION	Inspector: Chi blu	Date: "/17/17	Reviewer:	geran Di	ate: ///12/17	

# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AFO INSPECTION REPORT

## **FACILITY EVALUATION**

#### Facility

This facility consists of (3) confinement buildings housing a capacity of 3,300 finish swine, a metal formed manure storage structure, and (2) confinement buildings housing a capacity of 970 head of cattle. Swine manure is stored in above-ground metal storage structure and cattle manure is stacked in concrete stacking facilities. Manure structures were observed during the inspection and no discharge was noted. Mortalities are disposed of by composting. Mr. Troy Peterson, facility contact, was present and interviewed during the inspection.

# Manure Management Plan (MMP)

The MMP and manure application records were reviewed during the inspection. The only deficiency is the lack of a Statement of Intent for the field identified at J8-1. Mr. Peterson stated that he had this document on his computer and will add it to the facility records. No other deficiencies were noted.

#### Feed Storage

During the facility inspection, it was noted that feed for the swine confinements was contained in a bulk bin feed system. The bins, feed tubes and various attachments appeared to be intact and effectively containing feed. I did not observe feed spilled on the ground from feed transfer to the bins. This part of the operation should be inspected frequently as runoff water could carry spilled feed into a water of the state where it could create violations of state water quality standards. Discharge of such pollutants would potentially require a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

Feedstuffs for the cattle confinement were stored under cover as well. Commodities were housed in a building east of one of the confinement buildings and silage was stored under plastic to prevent waste from leaving the site and entering a water of the state. Discharge of such pollutants would potentially require a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

#### **Dead Animal Composting**

Dead animals are disposed of by composting. During the facility inspection the uncovered compost area was observed. The facility consists of a concrete base with concrete walls on three sides. Corn stalks are used as bulking agent. No evidence of compost leachate runoff leaving the compost area or ponding water in the area was observed. As a reminder, livestock mortalities must be incorporated into the composting process within 24 hours after death. The compost must be maintained with an adequate base layer (from 12 to 24 inches thick, depending on the size and number of mortalities), with 6 to 12 inches between carcasses, and an additional 12 inches of cover material around carcasses at all times. Cover should be maintained to control runoff and to prevent access by scavenging animals. This part of the operation should be inspected frequently.

## **Bio-Security**

Prior to the inspection, bio-security was discussed with Mr. Peterson and it was agreed upon that DNR bio-security SOP would be followed. DNR bio-security SOP was followed during the inspection.

# <u>REQUIREMENT</u>

Update MMP to include Statement of Intent for all fields receiving manure not farmed by D&R Johnson.

# RECOMMENDATION

Regularly walk around the facility to monitor for discharges and needed repairs.

# SUMMARY

- The facility, as observed, was considered a Large CAFO based on the capacity of animals at the animal feeding operation.
- No discharge was observed during the inspection and no evidence of past discharge was observed.
- No NPDES permit is required at this time.

# **IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION**



Field Office #1 909 W Main Ste 4

Field Office #2 2300 15th St SW

Chris Gelner

SPECIALIST NAME

Field Office #3 1900 N Grand Ave

Field Office #4 1401 Sunnyside Ln

Field Office #5 7900 Hickman Rd Ste 200

Field Office #6 1023 W Madison

Ma	nchester IA 52057 Mason City IA 563-927-2640 641-424-40		pencer IA 51301 712-262-4177	Atlantic IA 50022 712-243-1934	Windsor Heights IA 5 515-725-0268	0324 W	ashington 319-653-	
	Manure	Management	Plan Compliance	Review - Nor	sales of Manure			
		f Animals:	Animal Units:			Fac	ility ID:	
Site	and the same of th	0 swine	1,320	N-based⊠	<b>Facility Location</b>		577:	
Curre	nt: 11/16/2017		-	P-based	County: Howard		JII.	TO
Last:	***	cattle	970					
	ity Tier Status 1 2 3	Violation(s) C	omments					
Pre-	Table 1	n Statom	ant of Intant much	ha signad and h	a least on whit of was	avda fav al	ا الماماء	
Post	visit: 🔻 🗆 🗀 🗀				e kept as part of rec	orus for ai	Heias	
Cler	cal Violation(s)	receivi	ng manure that D&	k Jonnson does	not tarm.			
	nical Violation(s)	***************************************				ONMAN		
Mezmanenimonie							******************************	(militridalanamentalanaksaksaksaksa
Faci	ity Name D&R Johnson	Pers	on(s) Contacted	Troy Peterson	Phone	563/568	3-7721	
Faci	ity Owner Information & Addres	s Unchanged? Y	es No 🗌 (Dat	tabase must be u	ipdated)			2
	ress of Facility: 6879 Birch Ave							
	2 million and the contract of	operation of the control of the cont		can Elva E	7 w			
	ou own or operate any other anii				7 No		<b>T</b>	муниминени
MM	Programme and the second secon		ng met for the follow	ing items?		Yes	No	N.A.
a.	Calculations to determine land a							
b.	Total nitrogen and phosphorus			65.17(5)]?				
c.								
d. Manure application methods and timing of application [65.17(7)]?					닏닡	<u> </u>		
e. Location of manure application and landowner agreements [65.17(8)]?					<u> </u>			
f.	Estimate of annual animal produ						$oldsymbol{\sqcup}$	<u> </u>
g. Methods, structures, or practices to reduce soil loss and potential surface water pollution (conservation				NO	F-1			
plans for highly erodible land) [65.17(10)]?  h. Does P Index no. generated correspond with P Index no. in plan? [65.17(17)]				<u> </u>	╁┼┼			
<u>h.</u>							<u> </u>	╁┼┼
i.	Are proper soil sampling method							<u> </u>
**************			met for the followin	ig items?		Yes	No	N.A.
j. Ir	Copy of the current MMP [65.17			47/42)12			<del>                                     </del>	++
k.	Records kept for 5* years (or cre	***	ger) maintained (65.	11(12)],			H	누片
I. Methods of application [65.17(13)a]?  m. Dates when manure was applied [65.17(13)b]?					H	+ $+$		
<u>m.</u>	Location of manure application		oroc [CE 17/12\c]2	androppis and an address the state of the st			-#-	<del>       </del>
n. o.	Manure application rate [65.17(		cres [05.17(15)c]r	Provide a service of the land				+
<u>р.</u>	Statement of Intent for fields no		od [65 17/12]o]		deciminate del se se constitución de la constitució	+8-		┾╬
	Observations of Manure Storage/							+
			g met for the followi	na itome?		Yes	No	N.A.
q.	Manure storage structures appe			Contraction of the contraction o	12		H	TT.
r.	Dead animals disposed of prope	Water the second			<b>]</b> [	L KN		+
••	Name and American Ame			ring 🔲			П	Ιп
Discu		the following iter				Yes	No	N.A.
s.	Manure release notification made		No				ΤĎ	
t.	Deficiencies, violations, and tier							Ħ
ů.	Recommendations, improvemen		al issues?				Ħ	Ħ
v.	Manure applicator is certified [6		<del></del>				一同	一
w.	Proper manure application [65.3		,					同
Com	ments Manure application is		ring the spring an	d fall by Confi	nement Site Appli		ave Joh	nson
	6 – 4342) and Russ Johnson (		o are shring an		isinone one rippin		1.50.3011	11300
CIVIS	1 - 4342) and Nuss Johnson (C	JIVIJ — HJ41).			WORLDWAND CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF		State (and another processes decreased in section 1	NAMES OF THE PARTY

**ON-SITE CONTACT** 

**Troy Peterson** 

DATE 11/16/2017



# **Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) Regulatory Status**

Facility Name:	D&R Johnson	Facility ID: 57716	County:	Howard
	Large CAFO — Discharging — N  Large CAFO — No discharge —  Large CAFO — Has NPDES Per  Medium CAFO — NPDES Per  Medium AFO — No NPDES Re  Medium AFO — Has NPDES Per  Designated CAFO — NPDES Per  Small AFO — No NPDES Permi	No NPDES Permit Require mit nit Required quired ermit ermit Required t Required	ne of the inspecti	
	ed during the inspection.	lange in containons at the	acinty change of	are different from
Inspector:	Di fla	Date	:: <u>"/17/17</u>	

# Regulatory Definitions of Large CAFOs, Medium CAFOs, and Small CAFOs

These regulatory definitions are from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), implementing the federal Clean Water Act.

A Large CAFO confines at least the number of animals described in the table below.

A Medium CAFO falls within the size range in the table below and either:

- "(A) Pollutants are discharged into waters of the United States through a man-made ditch, flushing system, or other similar man-made device; or
- (B) Pollutants are discharged directly into waters of the United States which originate outside of and pass over, across, or through the facility or otherwise come into direct contact with the animals confined in the operation." 40 CFR 122.23(b)(6)(ii)

If an operation is found to be a significant contributor of pollutants to waters of the United States, the permitting authority may designate a medium-sized facility as a CAFO as provided in 40 CFR 122.23(c).

A **Small CAFO** confines the number of animals listed in the table and has been designated as a CAFO by the permitting authority after determining that it is a significant contributor of pollutants to waters of the United States as provided in 40 CFR 122.23(c).

Animal Sector	Size Thresholds (number of animals)			
	Large CAFOs	Medium CAFOs	Small CAFOs	
cattle or cow/calf pairs	1,000 or more	300 - 999	less than 300	
mature dairy cattle	700 or more	200 – 699	less than 200	
veal calves	1,000 or more	300 – 999	less than 300	
swine (weighing over 55 pounds)	2,500 or more	750 -2,499	less than 750	
swine (weighing less than 55 pounds)	10,000 or more	3,000 - 9,999	less than 3,000	
horses	500 or more	150 - 499	less than 150	
sheep or lambs	10,000 or more	3,000 – 9,999	less than 3,000	
turkeys	55,000 or more	16,500 - 54,999	less than 16,500	
chickens other than laying hens (other than a liquid manure handling system)	125,000 or more	37,500 – 124,999	less than 37,500	
laying hens (other than a liquid manure handling system)	82,000 or more	25,000 - 81,999	less than 25,000	

D&R Johnson 6897 Birch Avenue Riceville, Iowa 50466 Facility ID# 57716



